

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE . | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/574,637 | 09/574,637 05/18/2000 | | John J. Johnson IV | 30603UT1002 | 8108 | |
| 5179 | 7590 | 04/13/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| PEACOCI P O BOX 2 | | S AND ADAMS P | HWU, DAVIS D | | | |
| | | NM 871256927 | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | | 3752 | 3752 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | - N |
|-----------------|---------------|-----|
| 09/574,637 | JOHNSON, JOHN | J. |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Davis D. Hwu | 3752 | |

| | LAMIIIIICI | Art Onit | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|
| A STATE OF THE STA | Davis D. Hwu | 3752 | , |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | - | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid al ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31: or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | late of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) |) . | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stated above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months parmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2) | on fee under 37 |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal of | of the appeal. |
| | had adams should be seen as a seen | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | r, will <u>not</u> be entered TE below); | because |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: the new limitations raise new issues that re | equire further search and considera | ation. (See 37 CFR 1. | .116 and |
| 41.33(a)). | | • | |
| I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 D. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | empliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendm | ent canceling |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> rit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So | il and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attac | hed. |
| 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | No(s) | |
| | 1 | _ | |
| | | | |
| | DAVIS HW | | |